Case 3:07-cr-00111-K Document 22 Filed 04/12/07

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Page 1 of 6U. Page 10 153 COURT

By

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS DALLAS DIVISION

ORIGINAL

v.

§ § §

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

No. 3:07-CR-0111-K

ECF

GUADALUPE SANTANA MARTINEZ (1) §

PLEA AGREEMENT

Defendant Guadalupe Santana Martinez, Assistant Federal Public Defender Charles M. Bleil, the defendant's attorney, and the United States of America (the government), agree as follows:

- 1. **Rights of the defendant**: Martinez understands that he has the rights
 - to plead not guilty; a.
 - to have a trial by jury; b.
 - to have his guilt proven beyond a reasonable doubt; c.
 - to confront and cross-examine witnesses and to call witnesses in his d. defense; and
 - against compelled self-incrimination. e.
- 2. Waiver of rights and plea of guilty: Martinez waives these rights and pleads guilty to the offense alleged in Count 1 of the Information, charging a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 371, that is, conspiring with others to commit violations of 18 U.S.C. § 1029(a)(9) (access device fraud) and 18 U.S.C. § 1030(a)(5)(A)(ii) (unauthorized access to a protected computer). Martinez understands the nature and elements of the crime to

which he is pleading guilty, and agrees that the factual resume he has signed is true and will be submitted as evidence.

- 3. **Sentence**: The maximum penalties the Court can impose include:
 - a. imprisonment for a period not to exceed <u>5</u> years;
 - b. a fine not to exceed \$250,000, or twice any pecuniary gain to the defendant or loss to the victim(s);
 - c. a term of supervised release of not more than 3 years, but not less than 2 years which may be mandatory under the law and will follow any term of imprisonment. If Martinez violates the conditions of supervised release, he could be imprisoned for the entire term of supervised release;
 - d. a mandatory special assessment of \$100;
 - e. restitution to victims or to the community, which may be mandatory under the law, and which Martinez agrees may include restitution arising from all relevant conduct, not limited to that arising from the offense of conviction alone; and
 - f. costs of incarceration and supervision.
- 4. Court's sentencing discretion and role of the Guidelines: Martinez understands that the sentence in this case will be imposed by the Court after consideration of the United States Sentencing Guidelines. The guidelines are not binding on the Court, but are advisory only. Martinez has reviewed the guidelines with his attorney, but understands no one can predict with certainty the outcome of the Court's consideration of the guidelines in this case. Martinez will not be allowed to withdraw his plea if his sentence is higher than expected. Martinez fully understands that the actual sentence imposed (so long as it is within the statutory maximum) is solely in the discretion of the Court.

- 5. **Mandatory special assessment**: Martinez agrees to pay to the U.S. District Clerk the amount of \$100, in satisfaction of the mandatory special assessment in this case.
- 6. **Defendant's cooperation**: Martinez shall cooperate with the government by giving truthful and complete information and/or testimony concerning his participation in the offense of conviction and knowledge of criminal activities. Upon demand, Martinez shall submit a personal financial statement under oath and submit to interviews by the government and the U.S. Probation Office regarding his capacity to satisfy any fines or restitution. The government will advise the Court of the extent of Martinez's cooperation.
- 7. Government's agreement: The government will not bring any additional charges against Martinez based upon the conduct underlying and related to Martinez's conduct charged in Count 1 for which he is pleading guilty. If, in its sole discretion, the government determines that Martinez has provided substantial assistance in the investigation or prosecution of others, it will file a motion urging sentencing consideration for that assistance. Whether and to what extent to grant the motion are matters solely within the Court's discretion. This agreement is limited to the United States Attorney's Office for the Northern District of Texas and does not bind any other federal, state, or local prosecuting authorities, nor does it prohibit any civil or administrative proceeding against Martinez or any property.
- 8. Violation of agreement: Martinez understands that if he violates any provision of this agreement, or if his guilty plea is vacated or withdrawn, the government will be free from any obligations of the agreement and free to prosecute Martinez for all Plea Agreement (Guadalupe Santana Martinez) Page 3

offenses of which it has knowledge. In such event, Martinez waives any objections based upon delay in prosecution. If the plea is vacated or withdrawn for any reason other than a finding that it was involuntary, Martinez also waives objection to the use against him of any information or statements he has provided to the government, and any resulting leads.

- 9. **Voluntary plea**: This plea of guilty is freely and voluntarily made and is not the result of force or threats, or of promises apart from those set forth in this plea agreement. There have been no guarantees or promises from anyone as to what sentence the Court will impose.
- waives his rights, conferred by 28 U.S.C. § 1291 and 18 U.S.C. § 3742, to appeal from his conviction and sentence. He further waives his right to contest his conviction and sentence in any collateral proceeding, including proceedings under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 and 28 U.S.C. § 2255. Martinez, however, reserves the rights (a) to bring a direct appeal of (i) a sentence exceeding the statutory maximum punishment, or (ii) an arithmetic error at sentencing, (b) to challenge the voluntariness of his plea of guilty or this waiver, and (c) to bring a claim of ineffective assistance of counsel.
- 11. **Representation of counsel**: Martinez has thoroughly reviewed all legal and factual aspects of this case with his lawyer and is fully satisfied with that lawyer's legal representation. Martinez has received from his lawyer explanations satisfactory to him concerning each paragraph of this plea agreement, each of his rights affected by this agreement, and the alternatives available to him other than entering into this agreement. Because he concedes that he is guilty, and after conferring with his lawyer, Martinez has **Plea Agreement (Guadalupe Santana Martinez) Page 4**

concluded that it is in his best interest to enter into this plea agreement and all its terms, rather than to proceed to trial in this case.

12. **Entirety of agreement**: This document is a complete statement of the parties' agreement and may not be modified unless the modification is in writing and signed by all parties.

AGREED TO AND SIGNED this // day of April, 2007.

RICHARD B. ROPER **UNITED STATES ATTORNEY**

GUADALUPÉ SANTANA MARZÍNEZ

Defendant

CHARLES M. BLEIL

Assistant Federal Public Defender Texas State Bar No. 00790321

525 Griffin, Suite 629

Dallas, Texas 75202-4520

Telephone: 214.767.2746

Facsimile:

214.767.2886

charles bleil@fd.org

Attorney for Defendant Martinez

Assistant United States Attorney Texas State Bar No. 08553100 1100 Commerce Street, Third Floor

Dallas, Texas 75242-1699 Telephone: 214.659.8600 Facsimile: 214.767.2846 Linda.Groves@usdoj.gov

DEPUTY CRIMINAL CHIEF

Case 3:07-cr-00111-K Document 22 Filed 04/12/07 Page 6 of 6 PageID 58

[I have read (or had read to me) this Plea Agreement and have carefully reviewed every part of it with my attorney. I fully understand it and voluntarily agree to it.

Cualdufe e montinez

GUADALUPE SANTANA MARTINEZ

4-9-07

Date

I am the defendant's counsel. I have carefully reviewed every part of this Plea Agreement with the defendant. To my knowledge and belief, my client's decision to enter into this Plea Agreement is an informed and voluntary one.

CHARLES M. BLEIL

Date

Assistant Federal Public Defender Attorney for Defendant Martinez